

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

209

**CRM-M-25923 of 2022
Date of decision : 08.08.2022**

Deepak Sharma

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab & another

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present:- Mr. Gulzar Mohammed, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabharwal, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

VIVEK PURI, J. (Oral)

Present petition is under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.58 dated 18.03.2022, registered under Sections 406/498-A, registered at Police Station Women (Commissionerate), Ludhiana.

On 13.06.2022, the following order was passed:-

“ Pursuant to order dated 07.06.2022 passed by this Court, it is stated by counsel for the petitioner that a sum of Rs.35,000/- has been deposited with the Registry of this Court. The same is directed to be released to respondent no.2.

Counsel for respondent no.2 seeks time to file reply.

The marriage between the petitioner and respondent no.2 was solemnized on 25.05.2014 and a female child was also born who is currently 03 years of age. An FIR No.58 dated 18.03.2022 has been registered under Sections 406/498-A IPC with the Women Police Commissionrate, Ludhiana.

Since the issue appears to be a matrimonial

dispute, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

List on 20.07.2022.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions, the petitioner has joined the investigation. Furthermore, a sum of Rs.35,000/- in pursuance of the order dated 13.06.2022 has been deposited and the same has also been disbursed to the complainant.

On instructions from ASI Sukhwinder Singh, learned State counsel states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the aforesaid submissions, the order dated 13.06.2022 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

The petition is allowed.

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

08.08.2022
anil

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned :</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>