

[231] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-1762-2021(O&M)

Date of Decision : 08.02.2022

Ravi Kumar and others ...Petitioners

versus

Anshaj Singh and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Jatin Hans, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Baani Chhibber Mahajan, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

[1] Prayer in the petition under Sections 10 & 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is for initiating action against respondent No.1 for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 12.10.2020 in CWP No.16736 of 2020 in case titled as 'Ravi Kumar and others versus State of Haryana and another'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) dated 12.10.2020 reveals that CWP No.16736 of 2020 was disposed of on the statement of learned Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, that the concerned authority would look into the grievance of the petitioners and take a decision on the representation submitted by the petitioners within 03 months and that the instant petition was filed on account of failure of respondent No.1 to do the needful.

[3] Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1 has filed reply along with decision on representation i.e. Annexure R-1 dated 18.10.2021 to the effect that the scheme under which allotment had been

sought, has been scrapped and refund of payment made by the

petitioners, would be made to them as per seniority.

[4] Learned Counsel for respondent No. 1 states that decision could not be taken within the stipulated period of time on account of circumstances prevailing due to Corona Virus Pandemic but now in view of decision having been taken as is enclosed along with as Annexure R-1 to the reply, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against respondent No.1.

[5] Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in the circumstances, the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of, as such, while granting liberty to the petitioners to challenge order (Annexure R-1) dated 18.10.2021 by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

[6] In view of the position noted above, as well as decision having been taken on the representation submitted by the petitioners, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against respondent No.1.

[7] Accordingly, the contempt petition is *disposed of*, as such, while granting liberty to the petitioners, as prayed for.

[8] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

08.02.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*