

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.118

CRWP-5543-2022

Date of decision: 23.08.2022

Rajni

....Petitioner

Versus

U.T., Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Amrinderjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinav Gupta, APP, U.T., Chandigarh.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner prays for issuance of directions to the State to protect her life and liberty which she feels threatened at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

At the outset, learned counsel appearing for U.T., Chandigarh submits that the complaints filed by the petitioner have been investigated and finding no truth in the same they have been filed.

In the light of the afore statement, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to the petitioner to avail her remedy under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

August 23, 2022

Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No