

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

116

CRWP-5550-2022

Date of Decision: 04.07.2022

GURDEEP SINGH BRAR

.....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Jashandeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Believing the statement made, at the bar, by the learned Counsel for the petitioner, that in respect of the petition property, after the drawing of an instrument of partition, and, thereafter attestation of mutation, in respect of undivided estate(s) of the contesting litigants, rather the revenue officer concerned, has drawn warrants of possession, but it has remained unexecuted for obstructions being caused by the respondents concerned. Resultantly, the co-respondent No. 3 is directed to after seeking police assistance, if required, ensure the execution of the warrants of possession, drawn against the estate(s) concerned, and, also against the private respondents concerned, but only, if the aggrieved have not challenged the drawing(s) of the instrument of partition, and, consequent therewith, attestation(s) of mutation, rather before the Collector concerned.

2. Disposed of with the aforesaid order.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

04.07.2022

kavneet singh

**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No**