

[102] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1875 of 2019
Date of Decision : 06.04.2022

Kanta Devi ...Petitioner

Versus

Rakesh Gupta, Director Elementary Education,
Haryana and anotherRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sandeep Lather, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 21.11.2018 in CWP No.23043 of 2018.

[2] Notice has yet not been issued in the instant petition.

[3] However, reply dated 13.07.2020 has been filed along with speaking order (Annexure R-1) dated 18.03.2020 deciding representation dated 31.05.2018 and holding the petitioner and her children entitled to certain financial benefits, and requiring her to approach the Drawing & Disbursing Officer with valid documents.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to order (Annexure R-1), some financial benefits have been released to the petitioner in her account, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to revive the instant petition, in case full benefits as per

petitioner and her children on completion of formalities.

[5] The same is not opposed to by the learned DAG, Haryana.

[6] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner, as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

06.04.2022
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>