

COCp-1472-2021

Date of Decision : 10.05.2022

Satpal and Ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

Bhupinder Pal Singh

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioners.  
Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate for the respondent.  
\*\*\*

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 15.10.2020 in CWP No.17106 of 2020 in case titled as Satpal and others vs. Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Nabha Road, Patiala.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 dated 15.10.2020 reveals that CWP No.17106 of 2020 was disposed of with a direction to the respondent to decide legal notice dated 06.06.2020 in accordance with law within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and further to release the pension / pensionary benefits, if any, found due and payable, to the petitioners within two months thereafter.

3. Reply has been filed by way affidavit of Ms. Punamdeep Kaur, IAS, Managing Director, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Patiala indicating that interest on the delayed payment of gratuity, leave encashment and GPF had been released to the petitioners and further that

interest amount on the delayed payment towards leave encashment of

petitioner Nos.1, 7 and 8 had been transferred to the concerned depots for depositing the amount in respective saving accounts of the concerned employees while the interest on the delayed payment towards leave encashment of petitioner Nos.2 to 6 and GPF of all the petitioners had been sanctioned and cheques in the name of the respective petitioners issued and the same could be collected as per intimation given to them.

4. Reply is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners, who states that in the circumstances, the petitioners do not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to move a representation before the respondent for decision in case of any discrepancy in the calculations.

5. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

6. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)  
Judge

10.05.2022  
'Amit'

- |    |                                  |   |                |
|----|----------------------------------|---|----------------|
| 1. | <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | : | <i>Yes/No.</i> |
| 2. | <i>Whether reportable</i>        | : | <i>Yes/No.</i> |