

**233 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28857-2021

Date of Decision: March 02, 2022

Ravi Kumar Bansal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocat for respondent nos.4 to 13.

Anoop Chitkara, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of direction to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to take appropriate action on the representation dated 08.12.2020 (Annexure P-4).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied in case directions may be issued to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to decide the representation dated 08.12.2020 (Annexure P-4) within time bound manner.

Learned State counsel submits that in case some time is given to them, they shall decide the representation dated 08.12.2020 (Annexure P-4).

Given above, respondent No2 is directed to decide the representation dated 08.12.2020 (Annexure P-4) by passing a speaking order within thirty days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, he shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

The petition is allowed to the extent above. Pending application if any stands disposed of.

March 02, 2022
sarita

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No