

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

116

1. RFA-2371-2021(O&M)
Date of Order: 21.03.2022

VIRENDER KUMAR AND OTHERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Appellants

..Respondents
2. RFA-2425-2021(O&M)

JITENDER (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS. AND OTHERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Appellants

..Respondents
3. RFA-2482-2021(O&M)

SMT. LAXMI DEVI AND OTHERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Appellants

..Respondents
4. RFA-2427-2021(O&M)

JAIBIR AND OTHERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Appellants

..Respondents
5. RFA-2613-2021(O&M)

SMT. RUMALI (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HER LRS.
AND OTHERS

..Appellants

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Respondents

6. RFA-8-2022(O&M)

**JAGMAL SINGH (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS.
AND OTHERS**

..Appellants

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Respondents

7. RFA-9-2022(O&M)

**JAGMAL SINGH (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS.
AND OTHERS**

..Appellants

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**Present: Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate
for the appellants.**

**Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.
and Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana.**

**Mr. P.S. Saini, Advocate
for the HSIIDC.**

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

CM-5577-CI-2021 in RFA-2371-2021

The legal representative of deceased Balwanti Devi (appellant No.4) who are her class-I heirs pray for permission to file the appeal. It has been pointed out that the legal representatives of the deceased Balwanti Devi (appellant No.4) were inadvertently not brought on record before the Reference Court.

In view of the no objection by the learned counsel representing the respondent-State, the application is allowed. The appellants are permitted to file the appeal.

CM stands disposed of.

CM-5888-CI-2021, CM-5889-CI-2021 & CM-5890-CI in RFA-2613-2021

The legal representative of the deceased Jagwati (appellant No.2), Fateh Singh (appellant No.3) and Rati Ram (appellant No.4) who are his/her class-I heirs pray for permission to file the appeal. It has been pointed out that the legal representatives of the deceased Jagwati (appellant No.2), Fateh Singh (appellant No.3) and Rati Ram (appellant No.4) were inadvertently not brought on record before the Reference Court.

In view of the no objection by the learned counsel representing the respondent-State, the application is allowed. The appellants are permitted to file the appeal.

CM stands disposed of.

CM-363-CI-2022 in RFA-9-2022

The legal representative of the deceased Jagmal (appellant No.1) who are his class-I heirs pray for permission to file the appeal. It has been pointed out that the legal representatives of the deceased Jagmal (appellant No.1) were inadvertently not brought on record before the Reference Court.

In view of the no objection by the learned counsel representing the respondent-State, the application is allowed. The appellants are permitted to file the appeal.

CM stands disposed of.

Main

The learned counsel representing the parties are *ad idem* that these appeals are required to be disposed of in terms of judgment passed in Regular First Appeal No.421 of 2021, titled as “HSI IDC Vs. Om Dutt and others”, decided on 07.10.2021.

Ordered accordingly.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

March 21st, 2022

Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No