

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.201

CRM-M-6662-2016

Date of decision: 05.05.2022

Gurpreet Kaur and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Jai Bhagwan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.60 dated 17.06.2014 registered under Section 498-A, 406 IPC at Police Station Hathur, District Ludhiana.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that since investigation in pursuance to the impugned FIR is still pending, he does not press the present petition on its merits and would be satisfied if the same is disposed of with a direction to the investigating officer to consider the issues raised through the instant petition before filing of a report under Section 173 Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel as also learned counsel for respondent No.2 have no objection to the acceptance of the afore alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

In the peculiar facts of the case and because the alternate prayer of the petitioners is found to be reasonable, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the investigating officer in FIR No.60 dated 17.06.2014 registered under Section 498-A, 406 IPC at Police Station Hathur, District Ludhiana, to consider all the issues raised in the instant petition by the petitioners before filing of the report under Section 173 Cr.P.C.

May 05, 2022
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No