

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-29955-2022

Date of Decision:-15.11.2022

Nishan Lal.

.....Petitioners.

Versus

U.T., Chandigarh & Anr.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Sehaj Sandhawalia, Advocate for
Mr. Kunal Mulwani, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Shashank Bhandari, Addl. PP, U.T., Chandigarh
assisted by ASI Ram Chander, P.S. Sec 19, Chd.

Mr. Prashant Puri, Advocate for
Mr. Alankar Narula, Advocate for respondent no.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.212 dated 26.12.2015 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 454 and 120-B IPC (Section 448 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sector 19, Chandigarh and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 30.05.2022 (Annexure P-3) arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 14.07.2022 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 14.07.2022 with regard to the compromise dated 30.05.2022 (Annexure P-3).

In terms of the order dated 14.07.2022 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Puneet Mohinia, Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh and as per report dated 10.10.2022

submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

Perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in *Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543*.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Clas, Chandigarh accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.212 dated 26.12.2015 (Annexure **P-1**) under Sections 454 and 120-B IPC (Section 448 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sector 19, Chandigarh and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners herein.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

November 15, 2022

Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>