

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-W No.1041 of 2022 in  
CRWP No.5468 of 2022  
Date of Decision: 24.08.2022**

Mohd. Yaseen @ Ghuggi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**BEFORE: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present:- Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate appearing for  
Mr. Imran Farooqi, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Iqbal Singh Mann, DAG, Punjab  
for respondents No.1 to 3.

**MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)**

Learned State counsel, on the instructions from ASI Dilber Khan from Police Station City-II Malerkotla, apprises the Court that a gunman had been provided to the petitioner earlier also but due to some exigency, he was temporarily deputed somewhere else and now, the petitioner has again been provided a gunman till his testimony is recorded in the competent Court.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, as per the instructions of the arguing counsel, submits that in view of the above-discussed submission as made by learned State counsel, the instant application as well as the main petition bearing **CRWP No.5468 of 2022**

have become infructuous and the same may be disposed of accordingly.

Resultantly, the application in hand stands disposed of as having become infructuous and the above-said main petition is also taken on the Board today itself.

**CRWP No.5468 of 2022**

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**August 24, 2022**  
pooja

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)  
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned:*                      *Yes*

*Whether Reportable:*                                      *No*