

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25502-2022
Date of decision : 16.08.2022

Sita @ Rupinder Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.J.S.Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sarabjit Singh Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR no.0078 dated 03.04.2022 registered under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014 at Police Station Shahkot, District Jalandhar Rural.

On 02.06.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Inter alia contends that in the original complaint, no allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and the petitioner has been implicated only on account of being the wife of Harinder Pal Singh and as per the FIR, he has been alleged to have taken Rs.12 lacs. It is further submitted that the petitioner is not a signatory to the agreement dated 06.09.2021.

Notice of motion for 16.08.2022.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating

Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

02.06.2022”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Harbhajan Lal, has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation on 03.08.2022 and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in the order dated 02.06.2022, and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 02.06.2022 is made absolute.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

August 16, 2022
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No