

**134 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-2380-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.06.2022

Rajeev Saumitra

....Petitioner

Versus

Neeraj Grover and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Jatin Sehgal,
 Mr. R.D.Gupta and
 Ms. Devna Soni, Advocates for the petitioner

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The impugned order reads as under:-

“Memo of appearance has been filed on behalf of respondent no. 1. Arguments advanced for interim order. Perused the record. No ground is made out for passing any interim order. Request declined. Notice issued to respondent no. 2 not received back either served or unserved. Let, fresh notice to respondent no. 2 be again issued for 30.05.2022. Vakalatnama alongwith reply to the said arbitration petition be also filed on the date fixed, on behalf of respondent no. 1.”

The order as it stands is, only an ad interim order passed by the court. The application filed by the petitioner for grant of interim order has never been finally decided. The court has not recorded reasons for refusing injunction. When the order was passed, even the service of notice in the petition was not complete. The case is now fixed for 08.07.2022.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court does not find

it appropriate to interfere at this stage. However, the learned trial court is requested to decide the application for grant of interim relief on 08.07.2022, the date already fixed before it.

Disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

08.06.2022

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE