

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29028-2021(O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.02.2022

Jagdish

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, DAG, Haryana.

Case heard via video conferencing.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)
CRM-39759-2021

Since the accompanying petition has been listed for actual hearing today itself, this application seeking advancement of date of hearing has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

CRM-M-29028-2021

On 11.01.2022 following order has been recorded:-

“Today, Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate, appears for the complainant in the FIR, i.e. Sandeep Kumar, and submits that in fact the matter has been compromised between the petitioner and the complainant, and that he has, therefore, no objection to the petitioner being admitted to bail.

That being so, the complainant would appear before the learned Area Magistrate/trial court, within 10 days from today, whether by way of video conferencing or physical hearing, and make a statement before that court (upon him to be identified by the investigating officer), as to whether any compromise has been effected between him and the petitioner or not. If the statement is so made, after that court duly establishes the identity of the complainant,

the petitioner would be admitted to bail, upon him furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of that court, till the next date of hearing before this court.

Adjourned to 31.01.2022.”

Today learned counsel for the complainant again appears and reiterates that the matter stands compromised between the parties, and with learned counsel for the petitioner having filed in court today a copy of an order dated 17.01.2022, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kaithal, admitting the petitioner to interim bail upon the complainant in the FIR, i.e. Sandeep Kumar, having made a statement before that court to the effect that in fact the matter had been compromised between the petitioner and him, and with the investigating officer having duly identified the complainant, before that court.

That being so, Mr. Neeraj Poswal, DAG Haryana submits that though otherwise of course as per his instructions the matter has been compromised, however other cases also stand registered against the petitioner, and therefore the interim order not be made absolute.

In that context, it would be noticed that looking at the nature of the allegations made as regards the FIR in question, the matter having been compromised between the parties, I would see no reason for not allowing this petition, which is consequently allowed, with the order dated 11.01.2022, admitting the petitioner to interim bail, made absolute.

07.02.2022

Sunil Devi

**(AMOL RATTAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO