

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

229

CRM-M-48647-2018

Date of Decision: 21.10.2022

Chiranji

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: - None for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)

This case has come up for hearing after a gap of more than three years.

Despite the case having been called twice, none has put in appearance for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State submits that in the instant case challan under Section 173 Cr.P.C., has been presented before the trial Court and charges have been framed against the petitioner.

In view of the above, no further order is required to be passed in the instant petition. The same is dismissed for want of prosecution.

October 21, 2022
rishu

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No