

CWP-13365-2022

Date of Decision: 04.07.2022

Samresh Kumar and another

....Petitioners

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. B.K. Agrawal, Advocate for the petitioners

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

According to the petitioners respondent No. 4 is not affiliated to the CBSE and that its representations to the contrary are against public interest. Thus, action should be taken against it in accordance with law.

On being asked to point out the evidence which shows that respondent No. 4 is not affiliated to CBSE, learned counsel for the petitioners has referred to Enquiry Annexure P-3.

A perusal of the aforementioned document shows that it is an action taken report on a complaint made to the Chief Minister's window. The complaint was made regarding shifting of students from DLF City Phase-2 to Maruti Kunj, Bhondsi. The finding of the enquiry shows that the complaint was baseless and it was recommended to be closed. The enquiry has been conducted by the Block Education Officer, Gurugram.

Apart from the aforementioned enquiry report no other evidence has been brought to the notice of this Court. Obviously the argument is misconceived. Thus, it can not be accepted.

The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

04.07.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE