

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.5504 of 2022

Date of Decision: 06.06.2022

Kulwinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Prince Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be moving a fresh representation to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, exclusively praying therein for the protection of her life and liberty only and he further prays that respondent No.2 be directed to look into and take appropriate action on the fresh representation of the petitioner within some specific time frame and the present petition be disposed of accordingly.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection to the above-discussed submission as well as the prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 that in case, the petitioner moves any fresh

.....

representation to him restricting her prayer therein to the protection of her life and liberty only, he shall look into the same and if the petitioner would be found to be genuinely deserving the said protection, then this respondent shall take appropriate action strictly in accordance with law, in the given set of the facts and circumstances of the matter, preferably within a period of ten days.

06.06.2022	(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
neetu	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No