

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

277

CWP No.13892 of 2021
Date of Decision: 23.09.2022

Raghubir Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd.
and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Advocate,
for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the daily wage service rendered by the petitioner from 01.03.1980 to 01.10.1990 has not been taken into account as a qualifying service for computing his pensionary benefits, which act on the part of the respondent is contrary to the rules governing the service as well as the settled principle of law.

After notice of motion, the respondents have appeared and learned counsel for the respondents submits that vide order dated 15.09.2022, the benefit being claimed in the present petition has already been extended, hence, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

A copy of the order dated 15.09.2022 has also been given to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be

disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

23.09.2022
manju

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No