

111

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-1256-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 05.08.2022

SUNANDA

... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Ashok Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG Haryana.

* * * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has assailed the order dated 29.04.2022 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sonipat vide which charges under Section 498-A, 406 and 506 IPC have been framed against respondent No.2 only.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations as put forth also prima facie disclose commission of offence under Sections 328, 307 IPC and Section 66-E of Information and Technology Act and that too against all the private respondents.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner has sought to withdraw the petition with liberty to avail alternative remedy as may be available under law including by invoking the provisions of Section 323 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

05.08.2022

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No