

105.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13326-2019

Date of Decision: 05.09.2022

DEEPAK

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The instant writ petition has been filed seeking benefit of ½ mark by contending that the petitioner had the experience of working as a Data Entry Operator in the Delhi Development Authority.

The State has filed reply wherein it has been stated that ½ mark for experience could only be allowed in case the petitioner had gained the said experience by working in any organization affiliated with the State of Haryana.

Faced with the reply filed, counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not press the instant writ petition and seeks withdrawal of the same.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

05.09.2022

sanjeev Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No