IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-25152 of 2022(O&M)
Date of Decision: June 02, 2022

Manish Jain ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU
--

Present: - Mr.Rohiteshwar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.B.S.Sewak, Addl.AG, Punjab.
-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Manish Jain has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.116 dated 11.06.2021 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, Police station Division No.6, District Jalandhar.

The FIR was lodged on the complaint filed by the Zonal Manager, Punjab and Sind Bank Zonal Office, Model Town, Jalandhar. It was alleged that Ranjit Singh son of Harpal Singh, Ranjit Singh, Sukhvir Singh, Sarwan Singh, Daljit Singh, Sanjiv Kumar, Kamlesh Rani, Rachna, Jasvir Kaur, Harish Kumar, Harjinder Kaur and Shamsher Singh had prepared forged FDRs, Account Statements, etc. in connivance with each other. They got those fabricated documents verified by creating false e-mail account of the Bank and giving false certificates regarding genuineness of those documents by affixing forged and fabricated signatures of Bank officials. The complaint also provided details of the fabrication of documents.

Kanwaljit Singh, PPS Assistant Commissioner (D), Jalandhar conducted an inquiry in the matter. All the persons named in the complaint were joined in the investigation. During investigation, it transpired that all the said persons had directly or through their travel agents approached Manish Jain (petitioner) who used to prepare forged and fabricated documents showing funds/ FDRs in their account for going abroad or sending some relative to a foreign country. It was also found that one Davinder Singh had got prepared such false documents from Rajan Sharma. The investigation revealed that persons named in the complaint themselves had not fabricated any document. They had directly or indirectly approached Manish Jain (petitioner) and Rajan Sharma for showing funds in their accounts.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has argued that he has been falsely implicated in the case. The petitioner is not a beneficiary. The actual beneficiaries have been deliberately left out. The alleged forged and fabricated documents are already in the possession of the police.

It is not possible to accept the contention of the petitioner. As per the allegations, the petitioner had prepared forged and fabricated FDRs, bank statements and other documents by creating false e-mail account of the Bank and giving false certificates regarding genuineness of those documents by affixing forged and fabricated signatures of Bank officials to help various persons named in the complaint to go abroad.

The allegations are very serious. No ground is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

June 02, 2022 **(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)**
gian **JUDGE**

Whether Speaking / Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes / No