

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.25749 of 2022
Date of Decision: 15.07.2022**

Jagsir Singh and others

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Bhatheja, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, D.A.G, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 6.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

The petitioners herein seek the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of direction to respondents No.3 and 4 not to harass/threaten them by illegally calling them to their office time and again, at the behest of respondent No.7 and they have also prayed for directing respondents No.3 to 6 not to implicate them in any false criminal case at the instance of respondent No.7.

Learned State counsel submits that whenever the presence of the petitioners would be required to be secured in connection with the inquiry or investigation in any complaint/criminal case, the prescribed procedure of law would be followed for the said purpose.

In view of this submission, it is explicit that the cause of action does not survive any more in favour of the petitioners qua their

prayer for issuing direction to respondents No.3 and 4 not to harass or threaten them by calling them in their office time and again. It being so, the present petition stands partly disposed of accordingly qua the above-said relief.

As regards the relief as sought by the petitioners for issuing direction to respondents No.3 to 6 not to implicate them in any false criminal case at the instance of respondent No.7, this Court is of the considered opinion that no such blanket direction for the future events can be issued in favour of the petitioners. Resultantly, the petition in hand stands partly dismissed to the above-said extent.

July 15, 2022
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*

Whether Reportable: *No*