

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23202-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision : 13.07.2022

Preeti

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. V.P. Sangwan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.K. Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Second petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.210 dated 05.06.2018, under Sections 324, 307 & 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station City Dadri, District Charkhi Dadri.

The above mentioned FIR was registered on the statement of one Vikram to the effect that about seven months ago, he along with one Priti wife of Kanwar Pal, had gone somewhere for 7-8 days. After returning, both joined their respective families. Priti had also deposed before a Court at Rohtak that she had gone with the complainant at her own sweet will. Later on, the complainant and Priti started residing together in a rented accommodation behind Bus Stand, Charkhi Dadri. She had also

received an amount of Rs.1,50,000/- from the complainant. Again she demanded an amount of Rs.3,00,000/-, which was received by her from the complainant. On 04.06.2018, Priti gave this amount to her husband, namely, Kanwar Pal at Village Sanjarwas. On the same day at about 01:30 am, when the complainant was sleeping on the roof along with Priti, she attacked the complainant with a sharp-edged knife and inflicted several blows. The complainant raised hue & cry upon which neighbours reached there and rescued him. Priti fled away from the spot after causing injuries to him and which were dangerous to life. The complainant was then taken to Government Hospital, Dadri, and from where he was referred to PGIMS, Rohtak.

This Court, on 09.11.2020, while granting interim bail to the petitioner, passed the following order:-

“Learned State Counsel seeks time to have instructions as to whether any material witness is remaining to be examined in this case.

On his request, adjourned to 04.02.2021.

Since petitioner is in custody since 06.06.2018, therefore, let she be released on interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on her furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds subject to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned. ”

Learned counsel, on instructions from petitioner, submits that she is regularly appearing before learned trial Court and not involved in any other case. Also contended that there would be no apprehension of influencing the witnesses or tampering with the evidence, in case, petitioner

is granted bail on regular basis.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel.

In view of the above, this Court is of the opinion that sending the petitioner in custody at this stage would not serve any purpose. Consequently, the present petition is allowed and interim bail granted vide order dated 09.11.2020, is made absolute. Petitioner be admitted to bail on her furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Petitioner shall fully co-operate with the learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournments.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

It is clarified that in case there is any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State of Haryana would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

13.07.2022

atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)

JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No