

CRM-M-25205 of 2022

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25205 of 2022
Date of Decision: 08.09.2022

Lovedeep Singh and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: - Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate,
for Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
Mr. Kamal Singh, Advocate, for the complainant.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. prayer has been made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.101 dated 29.04.2022 registered under Sections 420/506 IPC at Police Station Jamalpur, District Ludhiana.

Briefly stated, present case has been registered on the complaint moved by Mandeep Devgan son of Surinder Singh to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, alleging therein that he is running his firm under the name of Surindera Agro Mills and is dealing in the business of supplying wood. Gagandeep Singh and Lovedeep Singh, who are proprietors of M/s Lokedeep Plywood and Timber Merchant, Amritsar, purchased wood from him on 14.09.2021 and 23.09.2021 on credit. But they did not pay any amount to him. Neither they paid any

CRM-M-25205 of 2022

amount nor they returned the wood taken by them. They also started threatening the complainant. After an inquiry of the abovesaid complaint, present FIR has been registered against the petitioners for committing cheating of ₹13,67,000/-.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned counsel for the State assisted by learned counsel for the complainant and perused the paperbook.

Perusal of order dated 02.06.2022 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court reveals that to show their bonafide, petitioners sought time to deposit ₹2 lakhs with the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court. Today, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are not in a position to deposit the said amount of ₹2 lakhs. It is worthwhile to mention here that despite availing several opportunities petitioners have failed to comply with the order of this Court to deposit ₹2 lakhs with the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court. Therefore, keeping in view the conduct of the petitioners and seriousness of the allegations levelled against the petitioners, they do not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

September 08, 2022
R.S.

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No