

208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.28341 of 2021 (O&M)

Decided on: 08.03.2022

Nikka @ Mandeep Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0012 dated 15.01.2021, registered under Sections 307, 379-B, 458, 323, 427, 506, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station City Jalalabad, District Fazilka.

The operative part of the order dated 02.08.2021, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“....Learned counsel contends that the only injury attributed to the petitioner is a danda blow, below the right eye of the complainant's brother but no injury so as to attract Section 307 IPC. Learned counsel relies upon the order passed in CRM-M-11088-2021 in case titled as Sandeep Singh @ Chhitu Vs. State of Punjab.

Notice of motion....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 02.08.2021, the petitioner has appeared before the

Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation. Counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that Sections 307 and 379 IPC stands deleted.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Amarjit Kaur, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 02.08.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

08.03.2022
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No