

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5569 of 2022
Date of decision: 21.03.2022

Raghav Thakur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

Present: Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana,
and Mr. Saurabh Mago, AAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has raised an objection to the effect that the land in question is situated in Sonapat and as per policy dated 14.09.2018, the Principal Secretary, Urban Estate is the appropriate authority, who is to consider the case of release of land under Section 101-A of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013.

At this Stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw this petition with liberty to avail appropriate remedy.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

21.03.2022
ajp