

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-25189-2022
Date of decision: 02.06.2022**

Amandeep Kapoor

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 143 dated 13.05.2020, registered under Sections 323, 341, 452, 307, 506, 356, 148, 149, 188 and 382 (added later on) of the IPC at Police Station Sulatanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for the petitionr, at the very outset, submits that the matter stands compromised between the parties and some of the co-accused have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail as it was a case of sudden fight and injury caused is attributed to co-accused.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab and Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate, who are present in Court, accept notice on behalf of the respondent-State and complainant, respectively.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 2/complainant admits to the factum of compromise effected between the parties and submits that even a petition bearing CRM-M-15589-2021 has been filed by some of the co-accused praying for quashing of the FIR on the basis of the compromise.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the factual position.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, without making any comment on the merits of the case, considering the aforesaid facts, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is granted the concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it will be open for the Investigating Officer to call upon the petitioner to join investigation, if so required, by issuing him a written notice in this regard.

02.06.2022

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No