

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

205

CRWP-5548-2022

Date of decision: 16.06.2022

Kajal Verma @ Chahat and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO

Present:- Mr. Saleem Akhtar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Kumar Dhankar, Advocate and
Mr. Anurag Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.4.

M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO, J. (ORAL)

Reply filed by the State is taken on record.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Counsel for the State has referred to Annexure R-3, statement of the complainant, who had lodged FIR regarding the alleged kidnap of his daughter-petitioner No.1 by petitioner No.2 and in the said statement, he has stated that he has no objection since the petitioners have now got married and has no intention to cause any harm to them.

In this view of the matter, the criminal writ petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO)

JUDGE

16.06.2022

pooja saini

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No