

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

136

CWP-12905-2022

Date of Decision :02.06.2022

Mohinder Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab State Power Corporation Limited
and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rimple Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the work charge service rendered by the petitioner with the respondent-department from 01.06.1978 to 25.03.1994 has not been taken into account as qualifying service for computing the pensionary benefits of the petitioner, which action of the respondents is arbitrary and contrary to the settled principle of law and, therefore, the respondents are under an obligation to grant the benefit of the said service to the petitioner rendered by him on work charge basis by counting the same as qualifying service for computing his pensionary benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of submitting a representation dated 16.04.2022 (Annexure P/6), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be

satisfied in case a time bound direction be issued to the respondent No.1 to decide the said representation.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in his representation, respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation dated 16.04.2022 (Annexure P/6) by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

June 02, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No