

226 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47776-2018
Date of Decision: 18.08.2022

RUBI KAUR @ RUKSHAR PARVEEN AND ANOTHER
...Petitioners

V/S
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: None for the petitioners.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN J. (Oral)

On 29.10.2018, the following order was passed:

“Notice of motion for 25.2.2019.

Meanwhile, respondents No.1 to 3 are directed to examine and consider the case of petitioners and if it is found that there is real and genuine threat to their life, limb and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7, then they will be provided protection forthwith. If the situation so demands, the petitioners be also provided facility of Protection Home as per their requirement. However, this order shall not be applicable in case any act / conduct of any of the petitioners pertaining to the application, amounts to an offence.”

Today, learned State Counsel on the instructions of ASI Harjinder Singh, submits that petitioner No. 1 has suffered a statement to the effect that the threat apprehended to her, no more exists and thus, she does not want to press the representation.

In view of the statement made by petitioner No. 1 before the authorities, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the present petition.

Hence, the present petitioner is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

18.08.2022

Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No