

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(217)

CWP No. 11992 of 2020
Date of Decision : 22.04.2022

Maan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kuljit Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for respondent No. 5.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that his pensionary benefits and certain service benefits including D.A. installments and the ACP benefits after 4, 9 and 14 years service have not been released in his favour.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though during the pendency of the petition, the benefits have already been extended to the petitioner but the same have been extended after a delay and, therefore, the petitioner be given liberty to approach the respondents so as to claim the interest on the delayed release of pensionary and other service benefits.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No. 5

submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioner or his legal heirs with regard to the grant of interest, the same will be processed in accordance with law and an appropriate order on the same will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of the same and in case, petitioner is found entitled for any relief after the decision of the representation, the same will further be released within a period of four weeks thereafter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

April 22, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No