

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.47701 of 2018
Date of Decision: 05.09.2022**

Ricky

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Rohit Sapehiya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Shukla, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. M.K. Bhargav, Advocate, appearing for
Mr. Abhineet Taneja, Advocate
for respondents No.5 to 7.

Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.8.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

Reply on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4, by way of the affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police (Detective), Commissionerate, Jalandhar, is already available on the file and the same is taken on the record.

However, after arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioner would avail the appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to him under law, for seeking the redressal of his grievance, as set-forth in the present petition.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel appearing for respondents No.5 to 7 and learned counsel for respondent No.8 have no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

September 05, 2022
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>