

124 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5380-2022

Date of Decision: 02.06.2022

Lisika and Another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors.

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms. Manisha, Advocate
for the petitioners.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ J.

The petitioners namely Lisika and Sonu have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking protection of their lives and personal liberty from respondents No.4 to 6.

The contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is that both are major, aged 18 years and 30 respectively. In support thereof, copy of the copies of Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. The petitioners further contend that they have performed marriage against the wishes of their parents. The marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 26.05.2022 as per Hindu rites and rituals. Copies of Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-3) and marriage photographs (Annexure P-4) have also been appended. The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Ashish Yadav, AAG Haryana has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 27.05.2022 (Annexure P-5) has also been submitted by the petitioners

to the respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Jind.

Learned State counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Jind to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Jind for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

02.06.2022
Ajay Goswami

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No