

CRM-M-25522-2022 &
CRM-M-31594-2022

1

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision:25.08.2022

1. CRM-M-25522-2022

MANPREET SINGH ALIAS PREET SINGH AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

2. CRM-M-31594-2022

SATNAM SINGH ALIAS MANI

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH*

Present: Mr. M.K. Dhot, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jaswinder Singh Arora, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Both the petitions have been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, who has been booked for having committed the offences punishable under Sections 458, 341, 323, 506, 148, 149 of IPC, in a case arising out of FIR No.60, dated 08.05.2022, registered at Police Station Dirba, District

Sangrur.

Vide order dated 02.06.2022 petitioners in CRM-M-25522-2022 were granted ad interim anticipatory bail. Similarly in CRM-M-31594-2022 petitioner Satnam Singh Alias Mani was granted ad interim anticipatory bail vide order dated 22.07.2022.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Raghbir Singh submits that in compliance of the afore-mentioned two orders petitioners have already joined investigation and recovery has also been effected.

In this view of the situation, learned State counsel submits that petitioners are not required for custodial interrogation in this case.

In view of the stand of the parties, the ad interim anticipatory bail granted vide orders dated 02.06.2022 & 22.07.2022 are made absolute in the respective cases.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 438(2), Cr.P.C.

25.08.2022
Amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No