

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(106)

CWP No. 19397 of 2021

Date of decision : 25.03.2022

Surjeet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner has not raised the grievance of applicability of the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in **CWP No.2371 of 2010 titled as Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 31.08.2010** with the respondents, according to which, an employee who's service might have been regularized after the said date i.e. 01.01.2004, he/she will be entitled for the pension under Old Pension Scheme and, therefore, the petitioner be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to approach the respondents at the first instance to raise the said grievance.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, accepts

notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned counsel for the

respondents submits that in case any representation is filed, an appropriate order on the same will be passed in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, no grievance of the petitioner survives at this stage and the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed, with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

March 25, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No