

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

275

CRM-M-46392-2017

Date of Decision:23.08.2022

Tarun Kumar Khera and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

UT Chandigarh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Abhinav Gupta, APP, UT Chandigarh.

Mr. Roopak Bansal, Advocate
for respondent No.2/complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

On last two dates, the petitioners are un-represented and even today, none has put in appearance on their behalf.

Given above, it appears that the petitioners are not interested to pursue the present petition. Consequently, the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

At this stage, learned counsel for respondent No.2/complainant submits that the police is unnecessarily harassing her by calling again and again.

Given above, counsel for the UT to give instructions to the concerned police officer/official not to harass the complainant.

Ordered accordingly.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

23.08.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No