

118.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13191-2022

Date of Decision: 04.07.2022

BALBIR SINGH

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Manpreet Singh Dua, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant promotion to the petitioner in the rank of officiating Sub-Inspector w.e.f. 01.04.2017 alongwith all the consequential benefits as granted to the similarly situated official who had passed Upper School Course along with the petitioner and granted promotion as Sub-Inspector w.e.f. 01.04.2017 along with all the consequential benefits.

Counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this regard, the petitioner has given a detailed representation/legal notice dated 30.03.2022 (Annexure P-3) to the official respondents, but till date no decision has been taken thereon. He would further submit that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the said representation/legal notice dated 30.03.2022 be decided in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

At this stage, Ms. Deepali Puri, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and submits that the official respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the legal notice/representation of the petitioner.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to her during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to decide representation/legal notice dated 30.03.2022 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

04.07.2022

sanjeev

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No