

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-13039-2022

Date of Decision: 02.06.2022

Kajal

....Petitioner

VS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court because her shop has not been de-sealed despite offer of payment of money in terms of the compromise plan allegedly executed between the parties.

From the perusal of the record it appears that the shop of the petitioner was sealed on account of non-payment of property tax. Thereafter, some agreement was entered into between the parties and vide communication dated 07.04.2022 the Commissioner of the Municipal Corporation has been requested to de-seal the shop as the petitioner is willing to make payment in accordance with the compromise plan. However, there is nothing on record to suggest that the money was actually offered and, thus, the writ petition is not entitled to be entertained. It is, accordingly, dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to make payment in accordance with the compromise plan provided the same has been accepted by the Municipal Corporation.

02.06.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No