138

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 25114 of 2022
Date of Decision: 02.06.2022

Vijay Kumar Rana and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet S. Multani, Assistant Advocate General, Punja

****

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Since, it is stated at the Bar, by the learned counsel for the petitioners, that though the Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, has, after completion of investigations into the petition FIR, filed a cancellation report, before the learned Magistrate concerned, yet the learned Magistrate concerned, has, through an appositely made order, declined to accept the cancellation report.

2. Therefore, the present petition asking for the quashing of the petition FIR, is not maintainable, and, it is dismissed as such.

3. Liberty is reserved to the petitioners to challenge the order made by the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned, rejecting the cancellation report, as, became instituted, before him, by the IO concerned.

June 02, 2022 **(SURESHWAR THAKUR)**
'dk kamra' **JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned	*Yes/No*
Whether Reportable	*Yes/No*

DINESH KUMAR
2022.06.02 18:12
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document