

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.46157 of 2017
Date of Decision: 01st September, 2022.

Kusam Kaur
Versus
State of Punjab & Others
...Petitioner
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Shukla, AAG, Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 3-State.

Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

* * * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that it has become infructuous.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.4 have no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

01.09.2022.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No