

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(148)

CWP-13375-2022

Date of Decision : July 04, 2022

Chirag Mor and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the petitioners, who are minor in age, can at the best be treated as illegitimate children of Ramphal but after his death, they are entitled for the grant of benefits in respect of the service rendered by their late father keeping in view the provisions of the Hindu Marriage Act. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the claim of the petitioners is not being considered for the grant of benefit in respect of the service rendered by their late father, who unfortunately died on 25.12.2021 hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to consider the claim of the petitioners for the grant of benefits as being prayed in the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance through their guardian before the respondents by serving upon them a legal notice dated

02.03.2022 (Annexure P-6), which is still pending consideration with the

respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 02.03.2022 (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 02.03.2022 (Annexure P-6), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice dated 02.03.2022 (Annexure P-6) by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

July 04, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No