

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.151

CWP No.12634 of 2022

Date of Decision: 01.06.2022

Promila Devi

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Amardeep Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the husband of the petitioner retired from his service on 31.03.2013 but the gratuity admissible to him was released to the petitioner after an inordinate delay of approximately eight years and hence, the petitioner is entitled for grant of interest on the delayed release of the payment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of filing a representation dated 29.01.2022 (Annexure P-4), which is pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the

respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 29.01.2022 (Annexure P-4) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the representation dated 29.01.2022 (Annexure P-4), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 29.01.2022 (Annexure P-4) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

01.06.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No