

250 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.1583 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision :04.04.2022

Krishan Lal (through his LRs) & Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

Ravi Bhagat and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Kunal Mittal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Parambir Singh, Advocate for the respondents

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 05.03.2019 in CWP No. 19410 of 2003 as well as order dated 02.05.2019 in RA-CW-140 of 2019.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 05.03.2019 reveals that CWP No.19410 of 2003 was allowed in terms of the decision in CWP No. 3483 of 1994 while vide order dated 02.05.2019, RA-CW-140 of 2019 was disposed of by holding that the case of the petitioner was squarely covered by judgment dated 10.02.2012 in CWP No.3483 of 1994 in case titled as Brij Lal and ors. vs. Punjab State Agricultural Marketing Board (Punjab Mandi Board) and another.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that although the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondents to do the needful in terms of order Annexure P/1 dated 05.03.2019 in CWP No. 19410 of 2003 as well as order dated 02.05.2019 in RA-CW-140 of

orders have been released to the LR's of the petitioners, therefore, he does not press the instant petition.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as the statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] Rule discharged.

[6] This order shall also dispose of all pending misc. applications.

04.04.2022

'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Whether reportable

(B.S. Walia)

Judge

:

:

Yes/No

Yes/No