

CWP-12995-2022

Date of Decision: 02.06.2022

Vishal Bansal

....Petitioner

VS

Union of India and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Hitesh Ghai, Advocate
for the petitionerMr. Ankur Sharma, Advocate for
Ms. Neha Sharma, Advocate for the respondents

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has applied for re-issuance of a passport but allegedly the application is not being processed as a criminal trial is pending.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that parties have reached an amicable settlement in the criminal case and the High Court has directed the trial Court to record the statements of the parties. Thus, refusal to process the application of the petitioner is illegal. From the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner it is evident that the criminal trial is pending as on date. Proceedings have still not been quashed and, therefore, the passport authorities were justified in refusing to process the application for re-issuance of passport.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the passport authorities after the criminal proceedings are quashed.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty.

02.06.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGEWhether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :Yes/No
Yes/No