

229 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-46936-2018
Date of decision: 16.11.2022

Vineet Bhatia and anotherPetitioners

versus

Amit GuptaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Rahul Vohra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

None for the respondent.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of the impugned order dated 24.09.2018 (Annexure P-4) passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Faridabad to the extent of further condition imposed by the learned Sessions Court in complaint bearing CIS No.1823 of 2017 dated 21.04.2017 (Annexure P-1) to deposit 25% amount i.e. Rs.2,12,500/- of the compensation amount i.e. Rs.8,50,000/- in the form of bank draft in favour of the complainant within a period of 30 days from today i.e. 24.09.2018 while admitting the petitioner No.1 on bail in Criminal Appeal No.810 of 2018 titled as 'Vineet Bhatia and another Vs. Amit Gupta'.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that since in the main petition filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, the petitioner has been acquitted vide order dated 06.04.2019 passed by the Sessions Judge-cum-Presiding Officer, Daily Lok Adalat, Faridabad, on the basis of compromise effected between the parties, his conviction is set aside and, therefore, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the above, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed off accordingly.

16.11.2022

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

**(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

: Yes/No
: Yes/No