

CWP-12559-2022

Date of Decision: 01.06.2022

Harvinder Singh

....Petitioner

VS

Union of India and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Ram Kumar Saini, Advocate
for the petitionerMs. Monica Chawla, Advocate
for the respondents – UOI

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has sought re-issuance of a passport without disclosing pendency of a criminal trial. Thus, notice was issued to him for concealing material particulars to which reply was filed, yet, the passport has not been re-issued. Accordingly, the petitioner approached the trial Court *inter alia* for re-issuance of passport. Vide order dated 24.05.2022, the trial Court has granted permission to the petitioner to travel abroad but has not said anything regarding re-issuance of the passport, thus, the present writ petition has been filed.

Allegedly, the order passed by the trial Court is illegal as nothing has been done regarding the prayer for re-issuance of the passport.

Thus, the remedy available to the petitioner is to challenge the said order. Filing of this writ petition is not the appropriate remedy.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present writ petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

01.06.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE