

211-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24742-2022

Date of decision : 22.09.2022

Neelam Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms. Kamlesh, Advocate for
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.452 dated 30.04.2022 registered under Section 381 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra.

On 01.06.2022, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the order dated 23.05.2022 (Annexure P-2) passed by this Court in CRM-M-22444-2022, whereby concession of pre-arrest bail has been granted to the co-accused.

Notice of motion for 10.08.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the

satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

To be heard along with CRM-M-22444-2022.

01.06.2022

Sd/- (Manoj Bajaj)

Judge ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the abovesaid order dated 01.06.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 01.06.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 01.06.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

22.09.2022

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No