

CRM-M-28863-2021

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(221)

CRM-M-28863-2021.
Date of Decision:-10.02.2022.

Harpreet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Siddharth, Advocate for
Mr. Shakti Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, a prayer is made for a mandamus being issued upon co-respondent Nos.1 to 3, to make a decision in accordance with law, upon the petitioner's representation dated 08.06.2021 (Annexure P-3).

Though this Court would have directed respondent Nos.1 to 3 to make a speaking decision within a time-bound manner, upon the petitioner's representation (Annexure P-3), however, the learned State counsel submits, that during the pendency of the instant petition, before this Court, the respondent concerned has made a speaking decision upon Annexure P-3.

Consequently, the above asked for mandamus cannot be

CRM-M-28863-2021

granted to the petitioner. Moreover, hence, the instant petition becomes completely infructuous, and, is disposed of as such.

However, liberty is yet reserved to the petitioner to recourse all available remedies, in accordance with law. Moreover, if any life endangering threat is meted to the petitioner by the private respondents, thereupon, it is permissible for the petitioner to access the official respondents concerned, and, the latter shall in accordance with law, provide the requisite protection to the petitioner.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

February 10, 2022.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No