

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.207

CRM-M-27466-2021

Date of decision: 13.01.2022

Lovepreet Singh @ Battu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. S.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.109 dated 06.10.2020 registered
under Sections 323, 324, 341, 148, 149, 506, 427 IPC (Sections 325 and
326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Begowal, District Kapurthala.

On July 16, 2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The case has been taken up for
hearing through video-conferencing.

As per allegations in the FIR, the
complainant was encircled at village Phirni.
Karanbir Singh @ Love gave datar blow on
the left arm of the complainant. Mukul gave
datar blow on left thumb of complainant.
Shamsher Singh Shera gave datar blow on
the left side of chest of complainant. Kapi
gave datar blow with reverse side on head of
the complainant. On raising alarm, Daljit
Kaur- sister of the complainant attracted to
the spot. When she came forward to rescue
the complainant, Kali Jat gave datar blow on
index finger of left hand of sister of the
complainant. The complainant fell down due
to injuries. His mother Balbir Kaur also
came there and the assailants also inflicted
injuries to her. Thereafter, the assailants ran
away from the spot.

By referring to the aforesaid prosecution version, learned counsel submits that no injury has been attributed to the petitioner, except to name him in an unlawful assembly with iron rod.

Notice of motion for 10.09.2021.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 23.07.2021 at 11.00 A.M. and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on interim bail, subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Nothing expressed hereinabove shall be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case. The case shall be decided on merits on the adjourned date.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; co-operated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In view of the above, the interim order of this Court dated 16.07.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

January 13, 2022

Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No