

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 30.03.2022
CRM-M-27865-2021

RAJAT YADAV **VS.** **STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER** **... PETITIONER**
.. RESPONDENTS

CRM-M-32503-2021

TAMANA YADAV @ TAMANNA YADAV ... PETITIONER
VS.
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER .. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Maan Akashdeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Ripudaman Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. A.A.Pathak, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Shashi Kumar Yadav, Advocate, for the complainant.

* * * * *

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

On 15.02.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Petitioner is seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 148 dated 07.06.2021 under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 registered at Police Station City Muktsar, District Shri Muktsar Sahib.

As per the report received from Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, the matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled. Learned State counsel has pointed out that the arrest of the petitioner(s) was stayed and is yet to join the investigation.

Adjourned to 30.03.2022.

Meanwhile, it is directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing

personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) contends that petitioners i.e. Rajat Yadav and Tamana Yadav are the husband and sister-in-law of the complainant and in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court, they have joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Karamjeet Singh, has stated that the petitioners have joined the investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required.

Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, order dated 15.02.2022, vide which the petitioners have been granted interim bail, is made absolute.

Both the petitions are allowed.

30.03.2022
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No